

Similar Students, Different Results: Why Do Some Schools Do Better?

A large-scale survey of California elementary schools serving low-income students

2005

**Williams, T., Kirst, M., Haertel, E., et al. Mountain View, CA:
EdSource. Full report available at www.edsource.org.**

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abedi, J. (2001). *Assessment and accommodations for English language learners: Issues and recommendations*. Los Angeles, CA: Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing.

Abedi, J., & Dietel, R. (2004). *Challenges in the No Child Left Behind Act for English language learners*. Los Angeles, CA: Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing.

Abelmann, C., & Elmore, R. F. (1999). *When accountability knocks, will anyone answer?* Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Allen, M. (2003). *Eight questions on teacher preparation: What does the research say? A summary of the findings*. Denver: Education Commission of the States. Retrieved August 8, 2005 from <http://www.ecs.org/tpreport>.

American Federation of Teachers. (2001). *Making Standards Matter 2001*. Washington, DC: American Federation of Teachers.

Amrein, A. L., & Berliner, D. C. (2002). High-stakes testing, uncertainty, and student learning. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 10(18). Retrieved April 18, 2005 from <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v10n18/>.

Anagnostopoulos, D. (2003). The new accountability, student failure, and teachers work in urban high schools. *Education Policy*, 17(3), 291-316.

Armstrong, J., & Anthes, K. (2001). *Identifying the factors, conditions and policies that support schools' use of data for decision-making and school improvement*. Denver: Education Commission of the States. Retrieved January 11, 2005 from <http://www.ecs.org/clearinghouse/30/69/3069.htm>.

Baker, E. L., & Linn, R. L. (2004). Validity issues for accountability systems. In S. Fuhrman and R. F. Elmore (Eds.), *Redesigning accountability systems for education* (pp.47-72). New York: Teachers College Press.

Behar-Horenstein, L. S., & Gonzales, L. D. (2002). Sustaining teacher leadership beyond an enabling culture. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA.

Behn, R. D. (2003). Rethinking accountability in education: How should who hold whom accountable for what? *International Public Management Journal*, 6(1), 43-73.

Bell, J. (2001). High performing, high poverty schools are distinguished by their strength of leadership and their understanding of how children learn." *Leadership*, 31(1), 8-11.

Betts, J. R., & Danenberg, A. (2002). *School achievement in California: An early evaluation*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California.

Betts, J. R., Rueben, K. S., et al. (2000). *Equal resources, equal outcomes? The distribution of school resources and student achievement in California*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California.

Betts, J. R., Zau, A. C., et al. (2003). *Determinants of student achievement: New evidence from San Diego*. San Francisco: Public Policy Institute of California.

Borman, G., & Rachuba, L. (2001). *Academic success among poor and minority students: An analysis of competing models of school effects*. John Hopkins University: Center for Research on the Education of Students Placed at Risk.

Boykin, A. W. (2004). *Closing the majority/minority achievement gap: Insights from research*. Washington, DC: Aspen Institute Congressional Forum.

Brozo, W. G., & Hargis, C. (2003). Using low-stakes reading assessment. *Educational Leadership* 61(3), 60-64.

Bryk, A. S., Camburn, E., et al. (1999). Professional community in Chicago elementary schools: Facilitating factors and organizational consequences. *Education Administration Quarterly*, 35(5), 751-781.

Bryk, A. S., & Schneider, B. (2002). *Trust in schools: A core resource for improvement*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

California State Department of Education. (1977). California school effectiveness study. The first year: 1974-1975. Sacramento, CA.

Carnoy, M., & Loeb, S. (2002). Does external accountability affect student outcomes? A cross state analysis. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(4), 305-331.

- Carter, S. C. (2000). *No excuses: Lessons from 21 high-performing, high-poverty schools*. Washington, DC: The Heritage Foundation.
- Cawelti, G. (1999). *Portraits of six benchmark schools: Diverse approaches to improving student performance*. Arlington, VA: Educational Research Service.
- Charles A. Dana Center, University of Texas at Austin. (1999). *Hope for urban education: A study of nine high-performing, high-poverty, urban elementary schools*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Planning, and Education Service.
- Clotfelter, C. T., Ladd, H. F., et al. (2004). Do school accountability systems make it more difficult for low-performing schools to attract and retain high-quality teachers? *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 23(2), 251-271.
- Coburn, C. E. (2004). Beyond decoupling: Rethinking the relationship between the institutional environment and the classroom. *Sociology of Education*, 77(7), 211-244.
- Coburn, C. E. (2005). The role of nonsystem actors in the relationship between policy and practice: The case of reading instruction in California. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 27(1), 23-52.
- Cohen, D. K. (1990). A revolution in one classroom: The case of Mrs. Oublier. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 12(3), 311-329.
- Cohen, D. K., & Ball, D. L. (1999). *Instruction, capacity and improvement*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.
- Cohen, D. K., & Hill, H. C. (1998). *Instructional policy and classroom performance: The mathematics reform in California*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.
- Cohen, D. K., & Hill, H. C. (2001). *Learning policy*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Cohen, D. K., Raudenbush, S. W., et al. (2000). *Resources, instruction, and research*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy.
- Corcoran, T., & Goertz, M. E. (1995). Instructional capacity and high performance schools. *Educational Researcher*, 24(9), 27-31.
- Cotton, K. (2003). *Principals and student achievement: What the research says*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Council of Chief State School Officers and The Charles A. Dana Center. (2002). *Expecting success: A study of five high performing, high poverty schools*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

Darling-Hammond, L. (1998). Teacher learning that supports student learning. *Educational Leadership*, 55(5), 6-11.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2000a). How teacher education matters. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 51(3), 166-173.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2000b) Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 8(1).

Darling-Hammond, L. (2004). Standards, accountability, and school reform. *Teachers College Record*, 106(6), 1047-1085.

Darling-Hammond, L., & Ball, D. L. (2004). *Teaching for high standards: What policymakers need to know and be able to do*. Philadelphia, Consortium for Policy Research in Education and Washington, DC: The National Commission on Teaching and America's Future.

Darling-Hammond, L., Berry, L. B., et al. (2001). Does teacher certification matter? Evaluating the evidence. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 23(1), 57-77.

Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1995). Policies that support professional development in an era of reform. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76(8), 597-604.

David, J. L. (1990). Restructuring in progress: Lessons from pioneering districts. In R.F. Elmore (Ed.), *Restructuring schools* (pp.209-250). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

David, J. L., Shields, P. M., et al. (2001). *When theory hits reality: Standards-based reform in urban districts*. Philadelphia: The Pew Charitable Trusts.

Davis, S., Darling-Hammond, L., et al. (2005) *School leadership study: Developing successful principals*. Stanford: Educational Leadership Institute.

DeBray, E., Parson, G., et al. (2001). Patterns of response in four high schools under state accountability policies in Vermont and California. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards-based reform in the states* (pp 170-192). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

DeBray, E., Parson, G., et al. (2003). Internal alignment and external pressure: High school responses in four state contexts. In M. Carnoy, R. F. Elmore, L. S. Siskin (Eds.), *The new accountability: High schools and high stakes testing* (pp. 55-85). New York: RoutledgeFalmer.

Desimone, L. M., Porter, A. C., et al. (2002). How do district management and implementation strategies relate to the quality of the professional development that districts provide to teachers? *Teachers College Record*, 104(7), 1265-1312.

Earthman, G. (2002). The effect of the condition of school facilities on student academic achievement. Expert report presented in *Williams vs. California*. Retrieved October 12, 2005 from <http://www.decentschools.org>.

Education Trust (1999). *Dispelling the myth: High-poverty schools exceeding expectations*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers, U.S. Department of Education.

Ehrenberg, R. G., & Brewer, D. J. (1994). Do school and teacher characteristics matter? Evidence from high school and beyond. *Economics of Education Review* 13(1), 1-17.

Elmore, R. F. (1993). The role of local school districts in instructional improvement. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *Designing coherent education policy: Improving the system* (pp. 96-124). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Elmore, R. F. (1996). Accountability in local school districts: Learning to do the right thing. *Advances in Educational Administration*, 5, 59-82.

Elmore, R. F. (2000). *Building a new structure for school leadership*. Washington DC: Albert Shanker Institute.

Elmore, R. F. (2003a). Accountability and capacity. In M. Carnoy, R. F. Elmore, L. S. Siskin (Eds.), *The new accountability: High schools and high stakes testing* (pp. 195-209). New York: RoutledgeFalmer.

Elmore, R. F. (2003b). A plea for strong practice. *Educational Leadership*, 61(3), 6-10.

Elmore, R. F. (2003c). Conclusion: the problem of stakes in performance-based accountability systems. In S. Fuhrman and R. F. Elmore (Eds.), *Redesigning accountability systems for education* (pp.274-296). New York: Teachers College Press.

Elmore, R. F. (2004). *Knowing the right thing to do: School improvement and performance-based accountability*. Washington, DC: National Governors' Association, Center for Best Practices.

Elmore, R.F. (2004) *School Reform from the Inside Out: Policy, Practice and Performance*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Fairman, J. C., & Firestone, W. A. (2001). The district role in state assessment policy: An exploratory study. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capital to the classroom: Standards-based reform* (pp.124-147). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Ferguson, R. F. (2002). *Addressing racial disparities in high-achieving suburban schools*. Naperville, IL: North Central Regional Educational Laboratory.

Ferguson, R. F. (2003). Teachers' perception and expectations and the black-white test score gap. *Urban Education*, 38(4), 460-507.

Firestone, W. A., Fitz, J., et al. (1999). Power, learning and legitimation: Assessment implementation across levels in the United States and the United Kingdom. *American Educational Research Journal*, 36(4), 759-793.

Firestone, W. A., & Shipps, D. (2003). How do educational leaders interpret the multiple accountabilities they face? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.

Fordham Foundation. (2000) *The state of state standards 2000*. Washington, DC: The Fordham Foundation.

Fuhrman, S. H. (1994). *Politics and systematic education*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Fuhrman, S. H. (1999). *The new accountability*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Fuhrman, S. H. (2001). Introduction. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards-based reform in the states* (pp.1-12). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Fuhrman, S. H. (2003). *Redesigning accountability systems for education*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Gandara, P., Maxwell-Jolly, J., et al. (2005). *Listening to teachers of English language learners: A survey of California teachers' challenges, experiences, and professional development needs*. Palo Alto: PACE, The Center for the Future of Teaching and Learning, and U.C. Linguistic Minority Research Institute.

Gandara, P., Rumberger, R.W., et al. (2003). English learners in California schools: Unequal resources, unequal outcomes. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 11(36).

Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., et al. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915-945.

Goddard, R. D., Hoy, W. K., et al. (2000). Collective teacher efficacy: its meaning, measure, and impact on student achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, 37(2), 479-508.

Goe, L. (2002). Legislating equity: The distribution of emergency permit teachers in California. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 10(42).

Goertz, M. E. (2001). Standards-based accountability: Horse trade or horse whip. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards-based reform in the states* (pp.39-59). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Goldhaber, D. (2002). The mystery of good teaching. *Education Next*, 2(1), 50-55.

Goldhaber, D., & Anthony, E. (2003). *Teacher quality and student achievement*. New York: Education Resources Information Center (ERIC) Clearinghouse.

Goldhaber, D., & Brewer, D. J. (2000). Does teacher certification matter? High school teacher certification status and student achievement. *Education Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 22(2), 129-145.

Gray, T., & Fleischman, S. (2004/2005). Successful strategies for English language learners. *Educational Leadership*, 62(4), 84-85.

Grissmer, D., Flanagan, A., et al. (2000). *Improving student achievement: What state NAEP scores tell us*. Santa Monica: RAND.

Grossman, P., Wineburg, S., et al. (2001). Toward a theory of teacher community. *Teachers College Record*, 103(6), 942-1012.

Guskey, T. R. (2002). Does it make a difference? Evaluating professional development. *Educational Leadership*, 59(6), 45-51.

Guskey, T. R. (2003). How classroom assessments improve learning. *Educational Leadership*, 60(5), 6-11.

Gutierrez, K. D. (2005). The persistence of inequality: English language learners and educational reform. In J. Flood and P.L. Anders (Eds.), *Literacy development of students in urban schools: Research and policy* (pp.288-304). Newark: International Reading Association.

Gutierrez, K. D. (2005). *Rethinking educational policy for English learners*. Washington, DC: Aspen Institute Congressional Forum.

Gutierrez, K. D., Asato, J., et al. (2002). Sounding American: The consequences of new reforms on English language learners. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 37(3), 328-343.

Hair, D., Kraft, B., et al. (2001). National staff development council project ADVANCE mini-grant: Louisiana staff development council's end of grant report June 30, 2001. Oxford: National Staff Development Council.

Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (1996). Reassessing the principal's role in school effectiveness: A review of empirical research, 1980-1995. *Education Administration Quarterly* 32(1), 5-44.

Hallinger, P., & Murphy, J. F. (1986). The social context of effective schools. *American Journal of Education*, 94(3), 328-355.

Haney, W. (2000). The myth of the Texas miracle in education. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 8(41).

Hannaway, J., & Kimball, K. (2001). Big isn't always bad: School district size, poverty, and standards based reform. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards-based reform in the states* (pp.99-123). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Hannaway, J., & Talbert, J. E. (1993). Bringing context into effective schools research: Urban-suburban differences. *Education Administration Quarterly*, 29(2), 164-186.

Hanushek, E.A. (2003). The failure of input-based schooling policies. *The Economic Journal*, 113(485), F64-F98.

Hanushek, E. A., Kain, J. F., et al. (1998). *Teachers, schools, and academic achievement*. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.

Harvey, J. (2003). The Matrix: The internal contradictions of No Child Left Behind are creating a nightmare. *Educational Leadership*, 61(3), 18-21.

Hawkins, M. R. (2004). Researching English language and literacy development in schools. *Educational Researcher*, 33(3), 14-25.

Heck, D. J., & Weiss, I. R. (2005) Strategic leadership for education reform: Lessons from the statewide systemic initiatives program. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Henson, R. K. (2001). The effects of participation in teacher research on teacher efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17, 819-836.

Herman, J. L. (2002). *Instructional effects in elementary schools*. Los Angeles: Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing.

Herman, J. L. (2003). The effects of testing on instruction. In S. Fuhrman and R.F. Elmore (Eds.), *Redesigning accountability systems for education* (pp.141-166). New York: Teachers College Press.

Hill, H. C. (2001). Policy is not enough: Language and the interpretation of state standards. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(2), 279-318.

Hill, P. T., & Lake, R. J. (2002). Standards and accountability in Washington state. In D. Ravitch (Ed.), *Brookings papers on education policy* (pp.194-234). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Honig, M. I., & Hatch, T. C. (2004). Crafting coherence: How schools strategically manage multiple, external demands. *Educational Researcher*, 33(8), 16-30.

Hoover-Dempsey, K., & Sandler, H. (1995). Parental involvement in children's education: Why does it make a difference? *Teachers College Record*, 97(2), 310-331.

Ingram, D., Louis, K. S., et al. (2004). Accountability policies and teacher decision making: Barriers to the use of data to improve practice. *Teachers College Record*, 106(6), 1258-1287.

Izumi, L. T., Coburn, K. G., et al. (2002). *They have overcome: High-poverty, high-performing schools in California*. San Francisco: Pacific Research Institute.

Jerald, C. D. (2001). *Dispelling the myth revisited: Preliminary findings from a nationwide analysis of "high-flying" schools*. Washington DC: The Education Trust.

Jeynes, W. H. (2003). A meta-analysis: The effects of parent involvement on minority children's academic achievement. *Education and Urban Society*, 35(2), 202-218.

Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission. (2004). *Review of factors and practices associated with school performance in Virginia*. Richmond, VA: Governor and General Assembly of Virginia.

Kannapel, P. J., Clements, S. K., et al. (2005). *Inside the black box of high-performing, high-poverty schools*. Lexington: Prichard Committee for Academic Excellence.

Kauffman, D., Johnson, S. M., et al. (2002). Lost at sea: New teachers experiences with curriculum and assessment. *Teachers College Record*, 104(2), 273-300.

Klein, L., Johnson, J., et al. (1997). *Successful Texas school wide programs*. University of Texas at Austin: The Charles A. Dana Center.

Knapp, M. S., Copland, M. A., et al. (2003). *Leading for learning: Reflective tools for school and district leaders*. Seattle, WA: Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy.

Koschoreck, J. W. (2001). Accountability and educational equity in the transformation of an urban district. *Education and Urban Society*, 33(3), 284-304.

Lankford, H., Loeb, S., et al. (2002). Teacher sorting and the plight of urban schools: A descriptive analysis. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(1), 37-62.

- Lashway, L. (2002). *The superintendent in the age of accountability*. University of Oregon: Clearinghouse on Educational Policy Management.
- Learning First Alliance (2001). *Every child learning: Safe and supportive schools*. Washington, DC: Learning First Alliance.
- Lee, J., & Wong, K. K. (2004). The impact of accountability on racial and socioeconomic equity: Considering both school resources and achievement outcomes. *American Research Journal*, 41(4), 797-832.
- Lee, V. E., Bryk, A. S., et al. (1993). The organization of effective secondary schools. *Review of Research in Education*, 19, 171- 267.
- Lee, V. E., & Burkam, D. (2002). *Inequality at the starting gate: Social background differences in achievement as students begin school*. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute.
- Lee, V. E., & Smith, J.B. (1996). Collective responsibility for learning and its effects on gains in achievement for early secondary school students. *American Journal of Education*, 104(2), 103-147.
- Leithwood, K., Louis, K.S., et al. (2004) *Learning leadership project: How leadership influences student learning*. New York: The Wallace Foundation.
- Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). What do we know about successful school leadership? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Leithwood, K., Steinbach, R., et al. (2002). School leadership and teachers' motivation to implement accountability policies. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 38(1), 94-119.
- Lemons, R., Luschei, T. F., et al. (2003). Leadership and demands of standards-based accountability. In M. Carnoy, R.F. Elmore, L.S. Siskin (Eds.), *The new accountability: High schools and high stakes testing* (pp. 99-127). New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Levine, D. U., & Lezotte, L.W. (1990). *Unusually effective schools: A review and analysis of research and practice*. Madison, WI: The National Center for Effective Schools Research and Development.
- Lewis, S., Jepson, J., et al. (1999). *Closing the achievement gap in urban schools: A survey of academic progress and promising practices in the great city schools*. Washington, DC: Council of the Great City Schools.
- Little, J. W. (1982). Norms of collegiality and experimentation: Workplace conditions of school success. *American Educational Research Journal*, 19(3), 325-340.

Little, J. W. (1990). The persistence of privacy: Autonomy and initiative in teachers' professional relations. *Teachers College Record*, 91(4), 509-536.

Little, J. W. (1994). Teachers' professional development in a climate of educational reform. In *Systematic perspectives on personalizing education*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved May 23, 2003 from <http://www.ed.gov/pubs/EdReformStudies/SysReform/little1.html>.

Little, J. W. (2003). Inside teacher community: Representations of classroom practice. *Teachers College Record*, 105(6), 913-945.

Little, J. W., & Bartlett, L. (2002). Career and commitment in the context of comprehensive school reform. *Teachers and Teaching: theory and practice*, 8(3/4), 345-354.

Little, J. W., Gearhart, M., et al. (2003). Looking at student work for teacher learning, teacher community and school reform. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 85(3), 185-192.

Louis, K. S., Sharon, K., et al. (1996). Schoolwide professional community. In F.M. Newmann (Ed.), *Authentic achievement: Restructuring schools for intellectual quality* (pp.179-203). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Marks, H. M., & Louis, K. S. (1997). Does teacher empowerment affect the classroom? The implications of teacher empowerment for instructional practice and student academic performance. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 19(3), 245-275.

Marks, H. M., & Printy, S. M. (2003). Principal leadership and school performance: An integration of transformational and instructional leadership. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 30(3), 370-397.

Marsh, J. A. (2000). *Connecting districts to the policy dialogue: A review of literature on the relationship of districts with states, schools and communities*. Seattle, WA: Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy.

Marzano, R. J. (2003). Using data: Two wrongs and a right. *Educational Leadership*, 60(5), 56-60.

Mason, S. (2002). Turning data into knowledge: Lessons from six Milwaukee public schools. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA.

Massell, D. (2000). *The district role in building capacity: Four strategies*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Massell, D., Kirst, M., et al. (1997). *Persistence and change: Standards-based reform in nine states*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Mathis, W. J. (2003). No Child Left Behind: Costs and benefits. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 84(9), 679-685.

Mayer, D. P., Mullens, J. E., et al. (2002). *Monitoring school quality: An indicators report*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.

McLaughlin, M. W. (1990). The RAND change agent study revisited: Macro perspectives and micro realities. *Educational Researcher*, 19(9), 11-16.

McLaughlin, M. W. (1992) How district communities do and do not foster teacher pride. *Educational Leadership*, 50, 33-35.

McLaughlin, M. W. (1993). What matters most in teachers' workplace context. In J. W. Little and M. W. McLaughlin (Eds.), *Teachers' work: Individuals, colleagues, and context* (pp.79-103). New York: Teachers College Press.

McLaughlin, M. W., & Talbert, J. E. (1993). *Contexts that matter for teaching and learning*. Palo Alto, CA: Center for Research on the Context of Secondary School Teaching.

McLaughlin, M. W., & Talbert, J. E. (2003). *Reforming districts: How districts support school reform*. Seattle, WA: Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy.

Murphy, J., & Hallinger, P. (1998). Characteristics of instructionally effective school districts. *Journal of Educational Research*, 81(3), 175-181.

Newmann, F. M., Smith, B., et al. (2001). Instructional program coherence: What it is and why it should guide school improvement policy. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 23(4), 297-321.

Newmann, F.M., & Wehlage, G. C. (1995). *Successful school restructuring*. Madison: University of Wisconsin-Madison School of Education, Center on Organization and Restructuring of Schools.

Noyce, P., Perda, D., et al. (2000). Creating data driven schools. *Educational Leadership*, 57(5), 52-56.

O'Day, J. A. (2002). Complexity, accountability, and school improvement. *Harvard Educational Review*, 72 (3).

O'Day, J. A., & Bitter, C. (2003). *Evaluation of the immediate intervention/ under performing schools program of the California Public Schools Accountability Act of 1999*. Palo Alto, CA: American Institutes for Research.

Oakes, J. Access to Textbooks, Instructional Materials, Equipment, and Technology. Expert report presented in *Williams vs. California*. Retrieved October 12, 2005 from <http://www.decentschools.org>.

Parsad, B., Lewis, L., et al. (2001). *Teacher preparation and professional development: 2000*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.

Perry, M., & Pelavin, A. (2003). *California's lowest-performing schools: Who they are, the challenges they face, and how they're improving*. Palo Alto, CA: EdSource.

Phi Delta Kappan. (2001). A Kappan special section on school reform. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 83(1), 59-95.

Porter, A. C., Chester, M. D., et al. (2004). Framework for an effective assessment and accountability program: The Philadelphia example. *Teachers College Record*, 106(6), 1358-1400.

Porter, A. C., Garet, M. S., et al. (2000). *Does professional development change teaching practice? Results from a three-year study*. Washington, DC: U.S Department of Education, Office of the Under Secretary.

Porter, A. C., & Smithson, J. L. (2001). Are content standards being implemented in the classroom? A methodology and some tentative answers. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards-based reform in the states* (pp.60-80). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Powers, J. M. (2003). An analysis of performance-based accountability: Factors shaping school performance in two urban school districts. *Educational Policy*, 19(5), 558-585.

Powers, J. M. (2004). High stakes accountability and equity: Using evidence from California's public schools to address the issues in *Williams v. State of California*. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41(4), 763-795.

Public Schools of North Carolina. (2000). *Closing the achievement gap: Views from nine schools*. Raleigh, NC: State Board of Education/Department of Public Instruction.

Purkey, S. C., & Smith, M. S. (1983). Effective schools: A review. *The Elementary School Journal*, 83(4), 426-452.

Ragland, M., Asera, R., et al. (1999) Urgency, responsibility, efficacy: Preliminary findings of a study of high-performing Texas school districts. Austin, TX: Charles A. Dana Center.

Resnick, L. B., Rothman, R., et al. (2003/2004). Benchmarking and alignment of standards and testing. *Educational Assessment*, 9 (1 and 2), 1-27.

Rhodes, C., & Beneicke, S. (2003). Professional development support for poorly performing teachers: Challenges and opportunities for school managers in addressing teacher learning needs. *Journal of In-Service Education*, 29(1), 123-140.

Rice, J. K. (2003). *Teacher quality: Understanding the effectiveness of teacher attributes*. Washington, D.C.: Economic Policy Institute.

Rosenholtz, S. J. (1985). Effective schools: Interpreting the evidence. *American Journal of Education*, 93(3), 352-388.

Rowan, B., Bossert, S. T., et al. (1983). Research on effective schools: A cautionary note. *Educational Researcher*, 12(4), 24-31.

Rowan, B., Correnti, R., et al. (2004). What large-scale survey research tells us about teacher effects on student achievement: Insights from the prospects Study of elementary schools. *Teachers College Record*, 104(8), 1525-1567.

Rumberger, R. W., & Gandara, P. (2004). Seeking equity in the education of California's English learners. *Teachers College Record*, 106(10), 2032-2056.

Russell, M., Higgins, J., et al. (2004). Accountability, California style: Counting or accounting? *Teachers College Record*, 106(11), 2102-2127.

Sanders, M. G. (1998). The effects of school, family and community support on the academic achievement of African American adolescents. *Urban Education*, 33(3), 385-409.

Sanders, M. G., & Harvey, A. (2002). Beyond the school walls: A case study of principal leadership for school-community collaboration. *Teachers College Record*, 104(7), 1345-1368.

Sandholtz, J. H., Ogawa, R. T., et al. (2004). Standards gaps: Unintended consequences of local standards-based reform. *Teachers College Record*, 106(6), 1177-1202.

Scheurich, J. J. (1998). Highly successful and loving, public elementary schools populated mainly by low-SES children of color. *Urban Education*, 33(4), 451-491.

Schmoker, M. (2003). First things first: Demystifying data analysis. *Educational Leadership*, 60(5), 22-24.

Sharkey, N. S., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). Learning from student assessment results. *Educational Leadership*, 61(3), 77-81.

Shepard, L. A. (2000). The role of assessment in a learning culture. *Educational Researcher*, 29(7), 4-14.

Simon, E., Foley, E., et al. (1998). *Making sense of standards: Implementation issues and the impact on teaching practice*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Siskin, L. S. (2003). When an irresistible force meets an immovable object: Core lessons about high schools and accountability. In M. Carnoy, R. F. Elmore and L. S. Siskin (Eds.), *The new accountability: High schools and high stakes testing* (pp.174-194). New York: RoutledgeFalmer.

Skrla, L., & Scheurich, J. J. (2001) Displacing deficit thinking in school district leadership. *Education and Urban Society*, 33(3), 235-259.

Smith, M. S., & O'Day, J.A. (1991). Systematic school reform. In S. Fuhrman and B. Malen (Eds.), *The politics of curriculum and testing*, (pp.233-267). New York: The Falmer Press

Snipes, J., Doolittle, F., et al. (2002). *Foundations for success: Case studies of how urban school systems improve student achievement*. Washington, DC: Council of Great City Schools.

Spillane, J. P. (1998). State policy and the non-monolithic nature of the local school district: Organizational and professional considerations. *American Educational Research Journal*, 35(1), 33-63.

Spillane, J. P. (2000). *District leaders' perceptions of teacher learning*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Spillane, J. P. (2001). Challenging instruction for "all students": Policy, practitioners, and practice. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards based reform in the states* (pp. 217-241). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Spillane, J. P. (2002). Local theories of teacher change: The pedagogy of district policies and programs. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3).

Spillane, J. P. (2004). *State standards, assessment and accountability instruments in practice: When the rubber hits the road*. Northwestern University: Institute for Policy Research.

Spillane, J. P., Reiser, B., et al. (2002). Policy implementation and cognition: Reframing and refocusing implementation. *Review of Educational Research*, 72(3), 387-431.

Spillane, J. P., & Thompson, C. L. (1997). Reconstructing conceptions of local capacity: The local education agency's capacity for ambitious instructional reform. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 19(2).

Stecher, B. M. (2002). Consequences of large-scale, high-stakes testing on school and classroom practice. In L. S. Hamilton, B. M. Stecher, S. P. Klein (Eds.), *Making sense of test-based accountability in education* (pp.72-100). Santa Monica: RAND.

Stecher, B. M., Barron, S. L., et al. (2000). *The effects of the Washington state education reform on schools and classrooms*. Los Angeles: Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing/RAND.

Stecher, B. M., & Chun, T. (2001). *School and classroom practices during two years of education reform in Washington state*. Los Angeles: Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing/RAND.

Stringfield, S. C., & Yakimowski-Srebniak, M. E. (2005). Promise, progress, problems and paradoxes of three phases of accountability: A longitudinal case study of the Baltimore city public schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 42(1), 43-75.

Swanson, C. B., & Stevenson, D. L. (2002). Standards-based reform in practice: Evidence on state policy and classroom instruction from the NAEP state assessments. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(1), 1-27.

Talbert, J. E., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1996). Teacher professionalism in local school contexts. In I. Goodson and A. Hargreaves (Eds.), *Teachers' Professional Lives* (pp.127-153). Washington, DC: Falmer Press.

Taylor, D. L., & Bogotch, I.E. (1994). School-level effects on teachers' participation in decision making. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 16(3), 302-319.

Timar, T. B. (2003). The "new accountability" and school governance in California. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 78(4), 177-200.

U.S. Department of Education. (1998). *Turning around low-performing schools: A guide for state and local leaders*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.

Virginia Department of Education. (2000). *A study of effective practices in Virginia schools: Educators' perspectives of practices leading to student success*. Richmond, VA: Division of Accountability, Governor's Best Practice Centers.

Walsh, K. (2001). *Teacher certification reconsidered: Stumbling for quality*. Baltimore, MD: The Abell Foundation.

Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., et al. (2003). *Balanced leadership: What 30 years of research tells us about the effect of leadership on student achievement*. Aurora, IL: McREL

Wayne, A. J., & Youngs, P. (2003). Teacher characteristics and student achievement gains: A review. *Review of Educational Research*, 73(1), 89-122.

Weinbaum, E. H. (2004) Stuck in the middle with you: District response to state accountability. In B. Gross & M. E. Goertz (Eds.), *Holding high hopes: How schools respond to state accountability policies*. Philadelphia: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

Weiss, I.R., Knapp, M.S., et al (2002) *Investigating the Influence of Standards: A Framework for Research in Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Wiley, S. D. (2001). Contextual effects on student achievement: School leadership and professional community. *Journal of Educational Change*, 2: 1-33.

Wilson, S. M., & Berne, J. (1999). Teacher learning and the acquisition of professional knowledge: An examination of research on contemporary professional development. *Review of Research in Education*, 24: 173-209.

Wilson, S. M., & Floden, R. E. (2001). Hedging bets: Standards-based reform in classrooms. In S. Fuhrman (Ed.), *From the capitol to the classroom: Standards-based reform in the states* (pp.193-216). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Witte, J. F., & Walsh, D. J. (1990). A systematic test of the effective schools model. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 12(2), 188-212.

Wolf, S., Borko, H., et al. (1999). *"No excuses": School reform in exemplary schools of Kentucky*. Los Angeles: Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing.

Woody, E. L., Buttles, M., et al. (2004). *Voices from the field: Educators respond to accountability*. Berkeley, CA: Policy Analysis for California Education.

Zigarelli, M. A. (1996). An empirical test of conclusions from effective schools research. *Journal of Educational Research*, 90(2), 103-110.